Journal Pre-proof

Lifetime-based analysis of binary fluorophores mixtures in the low photon count limit

Maisa Nasser, Amit Meller

PII: S2589-0042(21)01524-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103554

Reference: ISCI 103554

To appear in: ISCIENCE

Received Date: 18 July 2021

Revised Date: 18 October 2021

Accepted Date: 30 November 2021

Please cite this article as: Nasser, M., Meller, A., Lifetime-based analysis of binary fluorophores mixtures in the low photon count limit, *ISCIENCE* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103554.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Author(s).





Lifetime-based analysis of binary fluorophores mixtures in the low photon count limit

Maisa Nasser¹ and Amit Meller^{1*} ¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Technion – IIT, Haifa, Israel, 32000

*Corresponding and lead author. E-mail: ameller@technion.ac.il

Summary

Single biomolecule sensing often requires the quantification of multiple fluorescent species. Here, we theoretically and experimentally use time-resolved fluorescence via Time Correlated Single Photon Counting (TCSPC) to accurately quantify fluorescent species with similar chromatic signatures. A modified maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is introduced to include two fluorophore species, with compensation of the instrument response function. We apply this algorithm to simulated data of a simplified two-fluorescent species model, as well as to experimental data of fluorophores' mixtures and to a model protein, doubly-labeled with different fluorophores' ratio. Importantly, we show that 100 to 200 photons per fluorophore, in a 10 ms timescale, are sufficient to provide an accurate estimation of the dyes' ratio on the model protein. Our results provide estimation for the desired photon integration time towards implementation of TCSPC in systems with fast occurring events, such as translocation of biomolecules through nanopores or single-molecule burst analyses experiments.

Introduction

The ability to discriminate among multiple fluorescent species in labelled biomolecules is a fundamental requirement for a variety of sensing applications ranging from DNA sequencing techniques to protein quantifications, in vitro and in vivo (Alfaro et al., 2021; Joo and Ha, 2012; Kapanidis et al., 2015; Swaminathan et al., 2018; Van-Ginkel et al., 2018). In most cases discrimination among fluorescent species is achieved using fluorophores with distinctively different excitation and/or emission spectra, hence permitting chromatic separation of the emitted photons into multiple detection channels. Specifically, single molecule techniques, such as photon bursts analysis and Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (smFRET) often involve spectral discrimination. But the ability to quantitively resolve multiple fluorescent species based solely on their emitted photon wavelength bands involves difficulties that complicate measurements, particularly when the total photon quota for the analysis is scarce. For example, channel to channel bleed-through and non-uniform detection efficiencies among multiple channels complicate singlemolecule FRET efficiency determination for both immobilized and in photon-burst analyses (Sabanayagam et al., 2005). Another example for the use of multi-species single-molecule fluorescent sensing involves electro-optical sensing in nanopores (Gilboa and Meller, 2015). Multi-color detection has been applied to quantify epigenetic modifications, distinguish among labelled polypeptides (Gilboa et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018), as well as DNA fragments representing different cancer related mutations as they translocate through a synthetic nanopore (Burck et al., 2021). Notably, the voltage-driven translocation of the fluorophores results in short molecular dwell times that can substantially limit the number of photons available for multi-color analysis hence posing a challenge to precisely distinguishing among species (Ohayon et al., 2019). Non-chromatic discrimination of multiple fluorescent species has been achieved using fluorescence lifetime (FLT) measurements. For example, Kremers and coworkers described a quantitative unmixing method based on single frequency domain Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (Kremers et al., 2008). More recently, the combination of spectral and lifetime signals was demonstrated in FLIM ("spectral-FLIM") for blind unmixing of spectral and lifetime signatures from multiple unknown species (Scipioni et al., 2021). Moreover, FLT has been broadly applied in combination with spectral separation to enhance single molecule discrimination using multi-parameter fluorescence detection (MFD) strategies (Kalinin et al., 2010).

Unlike frequency-domain FLIM, Time-Correlated Single-Photon Counting (TCSPC) accumulates data from single-photon events emitted by single fluorophores. In TCSPC, the mean lifetime information is obtained from modeling the histogrammed raw data of multiple single-photon lifetimes measurements, emitted by either a single or multiple molecules. Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) procedures have been found to be extremely efficient for the analysis of TCSPC data of a single-component sample (Hall and Selinger, 1981) as well as for the analysis of surface immobilized single-molecule FRET data (Edel et al., 2007). Studies to date involving smFRET and FLIM either exploited the measurement or used this information to gain knowledge on the photophysical behavior of the dyes (Kaye et al., 2017), (Höfig et al., 2014),(Sheng et al., 2008). Other studies used laser scanning based FLT measurements, or large area FLIM for live cell FLIM (Oleksiievets et al., 2020; Raspe et al., 2016)(Blacker et al., 2014; Grecco et al., 2010; Lagarto et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021).

FLIM, optical nanopore sensing, as well as other applications of FLT may nevertheless benefit from the ability to resolve multiple fluorescence species in each of the excitation/emission channels, as a way to further increase the methods' multiplexibility, while preserving single-molecule resolution. To this end we computationally and experimentally explore the case of a single emission band (i.e.

a single detector), two species unmixing in the limit of low photon emission, which is most relevant for single-molecule sensing. We begin by presenting numerical simulations that consider Poisson photon noise, as well as the Instrument Response Function (IRF) of the experimental system to evaluate the expected ability to quantitatively measure the relative concentration of two dyes species that practically exhibit an identical emission spectra. We evaluate our results in the context of two scenarios: i) the ability to accurately predict the species concentration ratio in a binary mixture, and ii) the ability to probabilistically discriminate among the two fluorophore species given a limited number of time-resolved photons. We then experimentally validate our predictions in two types of experiments: i) dilute binary mixtures of the two dyes analyzed by photon bursts using single channel TCSPC, and ii) dually labelled proteins in which both fluorophores' photons are captured during the diffusion of the proteins through the confocal excitation volume. Both in our simulations and experimental analysis we consider the IRF's contribution to the TCSPC data. In accordance with previous studies (Edel et al., 2007), (Maus et al., 2001), (Nishimura and Tamura, 2005), we find that in either case MLE based analysis yield the optimal results when photon budget is highly limited. Importantly, when applying our analysis to dually labelled proteins (with two fluorophores species having nearly identical emission spectra), we found that due to the discreteness of the possible ratio states the required photon budget for high confidence determination is significantly reduced to a few tens of photons per fluorophore.

Results

Monte Carlo simulations for lifetime measurements of unitary or binary fluorophore systems

To guide us on the optimal fluorescent species lifetimes and to set our expectation on the photon budget required for discrimination, we performed an extensive set of Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. Each generated photon in the simulation is randomly obtained based on the pure lifetime distribution probability function (including a single or biexponential function for unitary or binary fluorophore systems, respectively) and the data is "contaminated" with two sources of noise: i) Poisson photon noise, and ii) the normalized IRF, obtained experimentally. For each "quota" of the generated photons in the MC simulations we first evaluated the most likely fluorescence lifetime using MLE model and present the result as the fractional ratio of the absolute deviation of the obtained value (τ_{fit}) from the set value (τ_{set}), namely: $|\tau_{fit} - \tau_{set}|/\tau_{set}$ in percentile (Figure 1a). Our results clearly suggest that for a single component system < 300 photons are sufficient to recover the mean lifetime with a deviation that is < 30%. Particularly, for the shorter lifetimes < 20% is obtained with as low as 200 photons.

Next, we evaluated the deviation for the MLE-derived dyes' ratio in binary mixtures, as a function of their actual molar ratio r, namely: $|r_{fit} - r|/r$. Our simulations results shown in Figure 1b indicate that, in order to correctly predict the ratio of the two components in a binary mixture, a larger quota of photons is required. At least 5,000 photons were required to obtain the dyes' ratio with deviation < 30%. An equal molar binary mixture (r = 0.5) yields the best result requiring as low as 1,000 photons with < 30% deviation, or conversely predict the ratio with < 5% deviation if the photon quota was kept at 5,000. Overall, the system can predict the dyes' ratio at all values simulated (from 1:10 to 10:1 stoichiometric ratios) and < 10% deviation provided that the total photon quota was 10,000 or more.

TCSPC experiments of freely diffusing dye samples

Two fluorescent dyes, ATTO 565 and ATTO Rho3B (ATTO-Tec, GmbH), having nearly identical fluorescence emission spectra and readily distinguishable fluorescence lifetimes were used in this study, as shown in Figure 2a. The lifetime measurements, performed on the individual dyes' solutions, each showed single exponential lifetimes (Figure 2b) with relatively insignificant deviations (4.02 ± 0.20 ns for the Atto 565 and 1.75 ± 0.08 ns for the Atto Rho3B), obtained by MLE-fits (solid lines) that take into account the measured IRF (dashed line).

Next, we examined binary mixtures of the two dyes in our experimental apparatus. Briefly, the setup consists of a home-build confocal microscope, as shown schematically in Figure 3a (see also the Star Methods section). The start and stop pulses were taken from the pulsed laser driver and from a Single Photon Avalanche Photodiode (PDM 100ct, MPD). TCSPC data was acquired using either a TimeHarp 200 (PicoQuant, GmbH) or Multiharp 150 electronics (PicoQuant, GmbH). The TCSPC signals were acquired at 10 MHz repetition rate producing a count rate of a range 5000 - 10,000 cps, unless specified differently. To evaluate the fluorescence lifetime estimation, we randomly selected N photons from the data sets, and used the MLE model to fit the data, as described in the Star Methods section.

Figure 3b insets show examples (N = 1000 photons) of the experimentally obtained TCSPC data fitted using the MLE (solid lines) of the two fluorophores analyzed separately. We analyzed the deviation of the MLE fit results from the respective fluorophores lifetime shown in Figure 2, as a function of the number of photons used in the analysis. Consistent with the MC simulations shown in Figure 1a, we see that the shorter lifetime fluorophore (Atto Rho3B) was determined with smaller deviation than the Atto 565 when the photon quota is limited, but above roughly 1,000 photons their respective deviations are nearly identical. The fact, that the experimental data of the separate components qualitatively match the MC simulation of Poisson noise and the system IRF, as well as the MLE procedure for fitting the data. This verification is essential before testing the fluorophore mixtures experimentally, which add few additional experimental unknowns to the system.

To evaluate the ability of our method to precisely determine the relative concentration ratios in binary mixtures of the two fluorophores, we prepared a set of 17 different concentration ratios (determined volumetrically) in the range of 0.1 to 0.9 characterized by:

$$r = \frac{C_{ATTO 565}}{C_{ATTO 565} + C_{ATTO Rho3B}}$$
(Eq. 1)

The concentration of the individual components was determined using UV-Vis absorbance at their corresponding absorbance maxima. These concentration ratios correspond to a range of roughly 0.11 to 9 in stoichiometric ratio x, by the conversion x = r/(1 - r). Each of the binary mixtures was subject to a similar TCSPC analysis, as performed for the single component solution. However, in contrast to the single-component case after randomly selecting a fixed number of photons from the acquired data stream, we used a double exponential MLE model approximation (see Star Methods section), which returns the relative weight of the two lifetimes components in the system. In an analogous way to the analysis of the MC simulations results for the binary mixture (Figure 1b), for each molar mixing ratio (r) we calculated the deviation in the determination of the stoichiometric ratio in the mixture comparing the experimentally estimated ratio at limited photon number (N) with the value obtained for $N > 10^6$ photons. Our data is summarized in the heat map Figure 4a. We first note that the experimental results closely reproduce the trends observed in the MC simulations, namely the optimal determination of the fluorophores

ratio obtained around r = 0.5 where < 5,000 photons to quantitively predict r with < 10% deviation. Moreover, even near the extreme r values (0.2 and 0.8) about 10,000 photons are sufficient for r determination with better than 20% accuracy. The error in the determination of r values are shown for 3 values as a function of the number of photons in the inset of Figure 4a.

To check for systematic deviations in our method, we next compared the TCSPC-determined dyes ratio with extremely high photon count (r_{exp}) to the dyes ratio determined during the preparation of the samples (*r*), as defined in Eq. 1. Our results, shown in Figure 4b indicate that the experimental data does not show a linear dependency on the prepared ratio. This, however, is expected as the two fluorophores have different brightness values: Atto 565 QE = 0.9 and absorbance maximum of $1.2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ at 590 nm, whereas the Atto Rho3B QE = 0.5 and its absorbance maximum is $1.2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ at 589 nm, as provided by the manufacturer (Atto-Tec, GmbH). We therefore expect that the effective number of photons emitted by the Atto 565 fluorophore is nearly double compared to that of the Atto Rho3B fluorophore. To account for this simple correction, we fit our data (Figure 4b) with the following function, that connects the experimentally determined dyes ratio with the molar preparation ratio, and includes just a single adjustable parameter:

$$r_{exp} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta \cdot x}}, \qquad (Eq. 2)$$

where r_{exp} is the ratio of the dyes as measured by TCSPC. Fitting the data to Eq.2 yield $\beta = 2.15 \pm 0.03$. This value is within experimental error for the brightness ratios $B_{ATTO 565}/B_{ATTO Rho3B}$ as determined by integration over their corresponding emission spectra of the fluorophores' solution prepared at the same concentration and measured using the exact same fluorospectrometer settings (see Figure S1).

Dual-labeled proteins analyses using a single color TCSPC

After examining our ability to quantify freely diffusing binary mixtures of fluorophores, we next analyzed samples of dually-labelled proteins. Here lysine residues of the protein ovalbumin (OVA) were conjugated using different ratios of the NHS-ester derivatives of the two fluorophores (Atto 565 and Atto Rho3B). OVA harbors 20 lysine (K) amino-acids residues (see Figure S2 for the amino-acid sequence), but only a fraction of them is available for NHS-ester conjugation in the protein's native folded state. To estimate the degree of proteins labelling we used bulk UV-Vis absorbance and quantitative fluorospectrometry. Notably, due to the relatively high specific absorbance of the fluorophores (at 565 nm) as compared to the proteins (280 nm) and the fact that the fluorophores may bias the absorbance around 280 nm, an accurate measurement of the dye/protein molar ratio for high fluorophore labelling is unreliable. Instead, we prepared a set of known dye/protein labelling ratios and systematically measured their fluorescence intensity after removal of the unconjugated dyes. As the dye to protein ratio is growing, we see a linear increase in the fluorescence intensity, reaching an apparent plateau when this ratio exceeds 10 (Figure 5a). This suggests that under these native conditions, at least 10 lysines residues per OVA molecule are accessible for NHS-ester dyes conjugation.

We prepared a set of 9 OVA samples labeled using mixtures of the two NHS-ester dyes in the range r = 0.1 to 0.9 as defined by Eq. 1. All the samples were analyzed using the TCSPC setup and the MLE-fit procedure, as described in Figure 3 and in the Star Methods section, to obtain the experimentally determined ratio of the two dyes r_N as a function of the number of photons. Similarly to the analysis of the binary free-solution mixtures, the photons emitted by the two dyes

are randomly mixed and our analysis model can only treat their statistical properties. Figure 5b shows the deviation of the experimentally-determined dyes ratios using *N* photons from the corresponding value obtained with very large number of photons (r_{exp}). When N > 25,000, all dyes ratios can be determined with relatively small deviations (typically < 20%), but for a lower number of photons, a clear bias, in favor of the Atto Rho3B dye, emerges. For small *r* values (i.e. 0.2 or less) we obtain smaller deviations for the ratio determination as comparted with the larger *r* values (r > 0.7). We evaluated the statistical scatter of our ratio determination by repeating the MLE fits 100 times, each time choosing *N* randomly-chosen photons from the data stream. This analysis shows that, as long as N > 1,000 photons, the mean r_N values fall within their corresponding STDs. But below 1,000 photons we observe a significant decrease in the mean r_N (see Figure S5). Nevertheless, our experimental and simulation data suggest that it is possible to accurately determine the fraction of two fluorophore species conjugated to the same protein molecule with relatively small number of photons. In some cases, about 10,000 photons are sufficient to determine the actual dye absolute ratio. Since 10 fluorophores are conjugated per OVA protein, this amounts, on average, to 1000 detected photons per dye.

The relatively large deviation obtained when using typically < 5,000 photons in the analysis of the TCSPC data as shown in Figure 5, may raise the question if an accurate species determination is at all feasible in single-molecule measurements performed at an extremely low photon budget. We note, however, that from a statistical standpoint if we include knowledge on the analytes in our mathematical analysis, we can reduce the number of required photons, while preserving a robust determination of species ratios. Particularly, in the case of labeled proteins there is a finite number of lysine residues (or primary amines) available for NHS-ester reactions. Assuming that all available free lysine sites are labelled (this is a reasonable assumption as we carry the labelling reaction to completion) for the OVA proteins *r* can take one out of 9 values, corresponding to dyes' stoichiometric ratios, i.e. 9:1, 8:2, ..., 1:9. This argument holds true also for other types of bioconjugations in which the chemical nature of the labeled molecule impose a known constriction on the possible *r* values in each single molecule. With this assumption, we can reevaluate the deviation of determining one of the 9 possible *r* values based on the TCSPC data using $\frac{|r_{exp}-r_N|}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} r_{exp_i}}$, as

shown in Figure 6.

Interestingly, we observe that for most of the possible r values, roughly 2,000 photons are sufficient to experimentally obtain the dyes' ratios with a deviation < 5%, and roughly 1,000 photons for < 10% deviation. Since the OVA proteins are labelled by a total number of 10 fluorophores, these numbers correspond to an average of 200 or 100 photons/dye molecule for the 5% and 10% deviations, respectively.

Discussion

The ability to discriminate among multiple fluorescent species conjugated to the same biomolecule or organelle and quantify their ratio is a general challenge in life-sciences and in single-molecule applications, most commonly addressed by using multiple fluorescent dyes with distinct excitation/emission spectra. Here we computationally and experimentally evaluated the possibility of substituting or complementing the chromatic separation of the signal with time-resolved information, based exclusively on TCSPC. We implemented an MLE-based analysis, which involves the two fluorophores' photon emission probability functions, the experimental IRF and the noise of the system. For binary dyes mixtures, we find that absolute ratios can be determined with relatively low deviation, if the total photon budget available for the analysis exceeds >10,000 for a broad range of the dyes' ratio. But using a smaller photon budget the absolute dyes ratio determination deviation increases significantly, limiting its potential use for "single-shot" (a single passage through the detection volume) ratio analysis.

We extended our measurements for dual bioconjugation of OVA protein molecules, used as a model. In this case, as well as in many other bio-labeling cases, the possible two species labeling ratios are constrained by the total number of amino-acids available for labelling (lysines in this case), and the discrete nature of the chemical labeling reaction. Specifically in the OVA case, 9 possible labeling ratios were considered. Taking this into consideration in our analysis, we find that it significantly reduces the required photons budget in order to achieve the two dyes' ratio with small deviation. For example, to obtain the ratio with 10% deviation, about 100 acquired photons per dye are needed. If we assume a realistic single fluorophore emission rate of about 10 detected photons per ms based on single-molecule experiments (Di-Fiori and Meller, 2010), our results would imply a practical dwell time of about 10 ms for each single protein molecule in the emission zone. These results may be helpful in designing emerging technologies for protein quantification, protein sequencing and other biomolecules sensing.

Limitations of study

Notably, for our results to be valid, we assume that the efficiencies of dye conjugation to the protein are relatively high. Specifically, while we do not assume that all lysine residues and primary amines in the model protein OVA are available for labelling, we do assume that the available residues are fully (or nearly fully) conjugated. This assumption may not be completely general to all proteins and must be verified experimentally under different conditions in future studies. To minimize fluorophore quenching phenomena, the TCSPC measurements were performed under denatured conditions, which are most relevant for emerging protein sequencing applications (Alfaro et al., 2021). We note, however, that some other applications may involve folded proteins, which may further complicate the MLE data analysis. Interestingly, fluorescence lifetime is known to be an extremely efficient tool for evaluating inter- and intra- energy transfer among fluorophores, hence opening new avenues for further studies. Moreover, to further confirm our study's conclusions and the correlation between the experimental TCSPC results with the Monte Carlo simulations, additional pair of fluorophores, having a different set of intrinsic lifetime values should be considered. For performing a more accurate statistical analysis of the photons acquired from labelled proteins, the simulations should include the inaccuracies that result from interactions of fluorophores residing in close proximity, such as energy transfer.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. N. Verma for careful reading and commenting on our manuscript. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) No. 833399 (NanoProt-ID) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme grant agreement.

Author contributions

M. N. conducted the experiments and A. M. designed the experiments and wrote the paper.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Main figure titles

Figure 1. Monte Carlo simulations for single or dual fluorophore species.

Figure 2. Emission spectra and lifetime characterization of the fluorophores used in this study.

Figure 3. Experimental TCSPC results of samples with a single fluorophore species.

Figure 4. Experimental TCSPC results of samples with binary mixtures of the two fluorophore species.

Figure 5. Analysis of dually labelled Ovalbumin (OVA) proteins using NHS ester reactive dyes.

Figure 6. Accurate quantification of fluorophores' ratio at low photon budget is achieved for discrete r values.

Main figure legends

Figure 1. Monte Carlo simulations for single or dual fluorophore species.

a) The expected deviation (in %) for the determination of the fluorescence lifetime, defined as $|\tau_{fit} - \tau_{set}|/\tau_{set}$ of a single fluorescent specie as a function of total number of detected photons. b) The expected deviation (in %) in MLE-based determination of the two fluorophore (Atto 565 and Atto Rho3) species' molar ratio (r_{fit}), as a function of their actual mixing ratio (r), defined as $|r_{fit} - r|/r$. In both cases the IRF (see Figure S3) and Poisson noise were added to the generated data prior to the MLE fitting. The insets represent the averaged deviations for all lifetimes and ratios, respectively.

Figure 2. Emission spectra and lifetime characterization of the fluorophores used in this study.

We used two fluorophores in this study (Atto 565 and Atto Rho3B, blue and orange, respectively) in bulk. a) The normalized fluorophores' emission spectra of the two dyes. b) TCSPC fluorescence lifetime measured in aqueous buffer of the dyes and IRF (instrument response function) of our system (see also Figure S3). Solid black curves are fits, taking into account the IRF, giving apparent lifetimes of 4.02 ± 0.20 ns and 1.75 ± 0.08 ns for the Atto 565 and Atto Rho3B, respectively.

Figure 3. Experimental TCSPC results of samples with a single fluorophore species.

a) TCSPC experimental layout. b) The deviation in the experimental determination of the individual fluorophore component (calculated as in Figure 1.) as a function of the photon quota used in the analysis. The inset display examples of the TCSPC data for N = 1,000 photons (all other cases provided in Figure S4) and the MLE fits.

Figure 4. Experimental TCSPC results of samples with binary mixtures of the two fluorophore species.

a) The relative deviation in the determination of the molar ratio r, as a function of the total number of photons used in the analyses. The deviation is calculated from the normalized difference between the experimentally determined dyes' ratio at finite number of photons (r_N) to the value obtained using the full date set (>10⁶ photons) defined as r_{exp} . Inset: Typical line plots of the fluorophore error determination for three specific ratios. b) Comparison of the absolute values of the experimentally determined ratio (r_{exp}) versus the preparation molar ratio (r) shows a systematic bias, associated with the different fluorophores' brightness ratio as explained in the text.

Figure 5. Analysis of dually labelled Ovalbumin (OVA) proteins using NHS ester reactive dyes.

a) Bulk fluorescence intensity of different labelling levels of the OVA after removal of the unconjugated dyes, showing an apparent plateau at a ratio of >10 Atto 565 NHS ester per protein molecule. b) The relative deviation (%), calculated as in Figure 4, in the determination of the dyes' ratios calculated using different number of photons for the 9 OVA samples. The experimentally determined r_N is performed by TCSPC as described in the text. Inset shows the accumulated averaged error for all the different ratios measured in our experiments.

Figure 6. Accurate quantification of fluorophores' ratio at low photon budget is achieved for discrete *r* values. a) The deviation in determining the dually labelled proteins' dyes ratio based on TCSPC analysis, as a function of the total number of photons (*N*) used in the analysis. Since there are 9 possible labeling ratios of the protein, the error for each sample is evaluated as: $\frac{|r_{exp}-r_N|}{\sum_{i=1}^{9} r_{exp_i}}$. b) The averaged deviation values in determining all the different *r* values for all samples, as a function of photons.

Data S1 – Matlab code for simulations and MLE analysis

STAR Methods

Resource availability

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Prof. Amit Meller (ameller@technion.ac.il).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

All original code is available in this paper's supplemental information.

All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Method details

Preparation of carboxy dye solutions

Carboxy dyes Atto 565 or Atto Rho3B (ATTO-TEC GmbH) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma Aldrich) and furthered diluted in MilliQ double distilled water (DDW) supplemented with 0.05 % (V/V) Tween 20 (Sigma Aldrich) to reduce nonspecific absorption to the surfaces. The concentrations of the dyes were measured using a UV-Vis spectrometer (Agilent technologies). The final sample concentrations were kept between 1 - 10 nM with a stoichiometric ratio as defined by Eq. 1.

Protein labelling

NHS-ester conjugated Atto 565 or Atto Rho3B (ATTO-TEC GmbH) dyes were dissolved in DMSO as recommended by the manufacturer's instructions. Recombinant OVA protein (Sigma Aldrich) was reconstituted in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing 10% glycerol and frozen into aliquots. OVA samples were defrosted on ice and diluted in a freshly prepared and degassed reaction buffer, consisting of 130 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 7.7 mM Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 1.7 mM KH₂PO₄ and 9.5 mM NaHCO₃, pH 8.35. The NHS-ester conjugated dyes Atto 565 and Atto Rho3B were added at different ratios to the OVA samples (further information is provided in the SI) and the lysine residues of the protein were labeled overnight (O/N) at 25 °C with shaking at 300 rpm. Subsequently, unconjugated dyes were removed using vivaspin concentrator (Cytiva) with a molecular cut-off of 30 kDa. The buffer was exchanged several times until no dye was observed in the flow through (typically 6-7 washes of 500 µl were required for complete removal of unconjugated dyes). The samples for the life-time measurements were prepared by diluting the protein labelled samples with 400 µM SDS (Bhuyan, 2010), 30 µM TCEP (Comasseto and Guarezemini, 2008) and 0.05% Tween 20 (Buschmann et al., 2007) in the reaction buffer for 30 min at 25 °C with shaking at 300 rpm. The samples were heated at 90 °C for 5 min and kept at 37 °C prior to the experiments.

Experimental set-up

A schematic illustration of the TCSPC setup arrangement is shown in Figure 2a. The pulsed, 561 nm pico-second laser (D-TA 560B, PicoQuant) was operated at 10 MHz frequency. The laser beam was spatially filtered by feeding it through a single-mode polarization preserving optical fiber

(Point source), and the collimated beam output was further expanded and steered to fill the back aperture of a 63x/1.15 NA water immersion objective (Zeiss). The emitted light was separated from the excitation using an appropriate dichroic mirror and focused onto a 50 µm pinhole located at the focal point of a 200 mm achromatic doublet lens (Thorlabs). The light was then filtered by a 562 nm notch and a 500 nm long pass filters (Semrock) and focused onto a low dark count single photon avalanche diode (SPAD) (PDM-100ct, MPD). The NIM output of the APD was used for superior timing resolution and was fed to the TimeHarp 200 or MultiHarp 150 acquisition hardware (PicoQuant). The sync pulse from the laser driver (PDL-800-D, PicoQuant) was connected through a 3 m long coaxial cable.

IRF analysis

The IRF is the instrument response function, that in general represents the temporal uncertainty introduced by the electronics while recording the arrival times of photons. A number of components contribute to the IRF, among them is the detector's noise dominated by the conversion from a photon to an electrical signal, the light source (pulsed laser) producing light pulse jitter, and the counting electronics (Boas, 2007). Acquiring the IRF can be performed by two main methods, namely measurements of a scattering solution or using strongly quenched fluorophores that are known to exhibit very short lifetime values. The second approach is usually considered more accurate especially if the detectors temporal response demonstrates a spectral dependency (Boas, 2007). In this work we have performed IRF measurements using a highly diluted milk solution and also using the Allura red dye (Sigma , 25956-17-6) which has an emission spectrum range similar to the dyes used in the experiments (Chib et al., 2015), and lifetime of roughly 0.23 ns. The two lifetime measurements of the IRFs are nearly identical as shown in Fig S3 A.

The measured IRF is characterized by fitting to an EMG distribution function defined by Eq.3 (Grushka, 1972), where $erfc(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$. The parameters obtained in this model can be used to create artificial times representative of the real IRF which is then used for the simulations.

$$f(x;\mu,\sigma,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\tau} e^{\frac{1}{2\tau} \left(2\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau} - 2x\right)} \cdot erfc\left(\frac{2\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau} - 2x}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right).$$
(Eq.3)

An example of the IRF fitting acquired from a scattering solution is presented in Fig S3 B. Fitting the IRF data to an EMG distribution, yields the following parameters: $\mu = 0.759 \pm 0.006$, $\sigma = 0.137 \pm 0.006$ (or a FWHM of 0.322 ns), $\tau = 0.181 \pm 0.006$ ns, $\chi^2 = 0.112$). Data acquired using a highly diluted milk solution.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Monte Carlo simulations and TCSPC data analysis

The Monte Carlo simulations were used to study the stochastic photon emission from a pure or a binary fluorophore mixtures. All simulations were performed using MATLAB (MathWorks) and the detailed code as well as all the variables' definitions appear in the Supporting Information. The

fluorophores were modeled as ideal emitters obeying a single exponential lifetime decay function therefore the photon arrival times obeyed the exponential distribution functions:

$$f(t) = \lambda \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda \cdot T}}\right) \cdot e^{-\lambda \cdot t}$$
(Eq.4)

$$f(t) = r \cdot \lambda_1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda_1 \cdot T}}\right) \cdot e^{-\lambda_1 \cdot t} + (1 - r) \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda_2 \cdot T}}\right) \cdot e^{-\lambda_2 \cdot t}$$
(Eq. 5)

where $\lambda_i = 1/\tau_i$, τ_i is the lifetime of the two fluorophores, and *T* is the simulated time span interval examined, limited by 1/(laser pulse rate). Eq. 4 represents a sample with a single component, while Eq. 5 is modeling a sample containing a mixture of the two fluorophores where *r* represents the relative molar ratio.

The simulation starts with creating the desired amount of photon's arrival times based on the exponential distributions Eq. 4 or 5. The created arrival times are binned into time channels, hence creating a histogram in which the time constant is set by the hardware resolution (i.e., 0.0363 ns). The number of photons in each channel is referred to as the counts vector, which for simulating a more realistic behavior of the system is modified by the Instrument Response Function (IRF) and Poisson noise.

The IRF transforms the data set by convoluting the counts vector with the IRF vector, which can be the real IRF obtained from our system or an artificial IRF created based on an EMG (Exponential modified Gaussian) (Grushka, 1972) distribution function using parameters representative of a real IRF. The Poisson noise alters the counts vector according to a procedure described by Demas (DEMAS, 1983).

a) If the number of photons in a single channel is less than 20 the Knuth algorithm (Knuth, 1997) is applied with the following steps:

1. Initialize the variables:

$$N_0 = 0, Q_0 = 1, P = e^{-\mu} \tag{Eq. 6}$$

 μ is the original count number in the channel.

2. Generate a uniformly distributed variable U (using a random number generator) and start an iterative process, calculate:

$$Q_{j+1} = Q_j U. (Eq.7)$$

3. If $Q_{i+1} > P$, then set:

$$N_{j+1} = N_j + 1 (Eq.8)$$

and go back to step 2.

4. If $Q_{j+1} < P$ the calculation is complete, and the final *N* obtained is the new counts number.

b) In case the number of photons in larger than 20 the Gaussian distribution approximation can be applied (DEMAS, 1983):

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$
(Eq.9)

 σ is the standard deviation taken as $\sigma = 1$.

Journal Pre-proof

For the final counts vector, the MLE de-convolution fitting algorithm can be applied based on (Maus et al., 2001) work, which was developed for a single fluorophore specie and further expanded here for a mixture of two species. The algorithm follows the assumption that the counts number in the channels follows a Poisson distribution, therefore we wish to minimize the following expression:

$$2I = c \cdot \sum_{i}^{K} n_{i} \ln \frac{n_{i}}{g_{i}}$$
 (Eq. 10)

where *c* is a numerical factor, generally written as: $\frac{2}{K-\nu}$, when *K* is the overall channel's number, which is determined by the hardware temporal resolution, ν is the number of degrees of freedom. Notably, however *c* is often simply assumed to be equal to 2 (Maus et al., 2001). n_i is the number of counts we have for a channel *i*, and g_i is the calculated number of photons from the fit. We obtain g_i from convoluting the IRF with the desired exponential distribution function:

$$g_{i} = N_{g} \left[\lambda \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-T \cdot \lambda}} \right) \cdot \frac{irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda \cdot i \cdot T}{k}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{K} irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda \cdot i \cdot T}{k}}} \right]$$
(Eq. 11)

$$g_{i} = N_{g} \left[r \cdot \lambda_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda_{1} \cdot T}}\right) \cdot \frac{irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1} \cdot i \cdot T}{K}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{K} irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1} \cdot i \cdot T}{K}}} + (1 - r) \cdot \lambda_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-T \cdot \lambda_{2}}}\right) \cdot \frac{irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2} \cdot i \cdot T}{K}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{K} irf_{i} \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2} \cdot i \cdot T}{K}}} \right] (Eq. 12)$$

Eq. 11 applies in the case of a single component, while Eq. 12 applies for a binary component system. N_g is defined as the total number of counts from all channels, irf_i is the number of counts in channel *i* for the IRF. The discrete convolution between the components is calculate as follows:

$$irf_i \otimes e^{\frac{-\lambda \cdot i \cdot T}{K}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} irf_j e^{-(i-j) \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot T}{K}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{K} irf_j e^{-(i+K-j) \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot T}{K}}.$$
 (Eq. 13)

We wish to estimate the parameters (r/τ) by a minimization process of *I* (Eq. 10) performed by calculating g_i repeatedly while iterating over the fit parameter (r/τ) .

The same minimization process is performed for a counts vector acquired from real TCSPC data, obtained from experiments on samples with a single fluorophore specie or a binary mixture.

References

Alfaro, J.A., Bohländer, P., Dai, M., Filius, M., Howard, C.J., van Kooten, X.F., Ohayon, S., Pomorski, A., Schmid, S., Aksimentiev, A., et al. (2021). The emerging landscape of single-molecule protein sequencing technologies. Nature Methods *18*, 604–617.

Bhuyan, A.K. (2010). On the mechanism of SDS-induced protein denaturation. Biopolymers 93,

186–199.

Blacker, T.S., Mann, Z.F., Gale, J.E., Ziegler, M., Bain, A.J., Szabadkai, G., and Duchen, M.R. (2014). Separating NADH and NADPH fluorescence in live cells and tissues using FLIM. Nature Communications *5*, 1–9.

Boas, G. (2007) 'Time-correlated single-photon counting on a chip', *Biophotonics International*, 14(11), pp. 15–16.

Burck, N., Gilboa, T., Gadi, A., Patkin Nehrer, M., Schneider, R.J., and Meller, A. (2021). Nanopore Identification of Single Nucleotide Mutations in Circulating Tumor DNA by Multiplexed Ligation. Clinical Chemistry *67*, 753–762.

Buschmann, V., Krämer, B., Koberling, F., Macdonald, R., and Rüttinge, S. (2007). Quantitative FCS: Determination of the Confocal Volume by FCS and Bead Scanning with the MicroTime 200. AppNote Quantitative FCS 1–8.

Chib, R. *et al.* (2015) 'Standard reference for instrument response function in fluorescence lifetime measurements in visible and near infrared', *Measurement Science and Technology*. IOP Publishing, 27(2).

Comasseto, J. V., and Guarezemini, A.S. (2008). Reduction of Disulfides. In Sulfur, Selenium, and Tellurium, (Georg Thieme Verlag KG), p. 1.

Demas, J.N. (1983). Methods of Measuring Lifetimes. In Excited State Lifetime Measurements, J.N.B.T.-E.S.L.M. Demas, ed. (Elsevier), pp. 12–27.

Di-Fiori, N., and Meller, A. (2010). The Effect of Dye-Dye Interactions on the Spatial Resolution of Single-Molecule FRET Measurements in Nucleic Acids. Biophysical Journal *98*, 2265–2272.

Edel, J.B., Eid, J.S., and Meller, A. (2007). Accurate Single Molecule FRET Efficiency Determination for Surface Immobilized DNA Using Maximum Likelihood Calculated Lifetimes. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B *111*, 2986–2990.

Gilboa, T., and Meller, A. (2015). Optical sensing and analyte manipulation in solid-state nanopores. Analyst *140*, 4733–4747.

Gilboa, T., Torfstein, C., Juhasz, M., Grunwald, A., Ebenstein, Y., Weinhold, E., and Meller, A. (2016). Single-Molecule DNA Methylation Quantification Using Electro-optical Sensing in Solid-State Nanopores. ACS Nano *10*, 8861–8870.

Grecco, H.E., Roda-Navarro, P., Girod, A., Hou, J., Frahm, T., Truxius, D.C., Pepperkok, R., Squire, A., and Bastiaens, P.I.H. (2010). In situ analysis of tyrosine phosphorylation networks by FLIM on cell arrays. Nature Methods *7*, 467–472.

Grushka, E. (1972). Characterization of Exponentially Modified Gaussian Peaks in Chromatography. Analytical Chemistry *44*, 1733–1738.

Hall, P., and Selinger, B. (1981). Better estimates of exponential decay parameters. The Journal of Physical Chemistry *85*, 2941–2946.

Höfig, H., Gabba, M., Poblete, S., Kempe, D., and Fitter, J. (2014). Inter-dye distance distributions studied by a combination of single-molecule FRET-filtered lifetime measurements and a weighted accessible volume (wAV) algorithm. Molecules *19*, 19269–19291.

Joo, C., and Ha, T. (2012). Single-Molecule FRET with Total Internal Reflection Microscopy. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols *2012*, pdb.top072058-pdb.top072058.

Kalinin, S., Valeri, A., Antonik, M., Felekyan, S., and Seidel, C.A.M. (2010). Detection of

Structural Dynamics by FRET: A Photon Distribution and Fluorescence Lifetime Analysis of Systems with Multiple States. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B *114*, 7983–7995.

Kapanidis, A., Majumdar, D., Heilemann, M., Nir, E., and Weiss, S. (2015). Alternating Laser Excitation for Solution-Based Single-Molecule FRET. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols *2015*, pdb.top086405.

Kaye, B., Foster, P.J., Yoo, T.Y., and Needleman, D.J. (2017). Developing and testing a bayesian analysis of fluorescence lifetime measurements. PLoS ONE *12*, 1–13.

Knuth, D. (1997). The art of computer programming. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co. Inc., Califonia, USA.

Kremers, G.-J., van Munster, E.B., Goedhart, J., and Gadella, T.W.J. (2008). Quantitative Lifetime Unmixing of Multiexponentially Decaying Fluorophores Using Single-Frequency Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy. Biophysical Journal *95*, 378–389.

Lagarto, J.L., Villa, F., Tisa, S., Zappa, F., Shcheslavskiy, V., Pavone, F.S., and Cicchi, R. (2020). Real-time multispectral fluorescence lifetime imaging using Single Photon Avalanche Diode arrays. Scientific Reports *10*, 1–10.

Ma, Y., Lee, Y., Best-Popescu, C., and Gao, L. (2021). High-speed compressed-sensing fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy of live cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *118*.

Maus, M., Cotlet, M., Hofkens, J., Gensch, T., De Schryver, F.C., Schaffer, J., and Seidel, C.A.M. (2001). An experimental comparison of the maximum likelihood estimation and nonlinear least-squares fluorescence lifetime analysis of single molecules. Analytical Chemistry *73*, 2078–2086.

Nishimura, G., and Tamura, M. (2005). Artefacts in the analysis of temporal response functions measured by photon counting. Physics in Medicine and Biology *50*, 1327–1342.

Ohayon, S., Girsault, A., Nasser, M., Shen-Orr, S., and Meller, A. (2019). Simulation of singleprotein nanopore sensing shows feasibility for whole-proteome identification. PLoS Computational Biology *15*, e1007067.

Oleksiievets, N., Thiele, J.C., Weber, A., Gregor, I., Nevskyi, O., Isbaner, S., Tsukanov, R., and Enderlein, J. (2020). Wide-Field Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging of Single Molecules. Journal of Physical Chemistry A *124*, 3494–3500.

Raspe, M., Kedziora, K.M., Van Den Broek, B., Zhao, Q., De Jong, S., Herz, J., Mastop, M., Goedhart, J., Gadella, T.W.J., Young, I.T., et al. (2016). SiFLIM: Single-image frequency-domain FLIM provides fast and photon-efficient lifetime data. Nature Methods *13*, 501–504.

Sabanayagam, C.R., Eid, J.S., and Meller, A. (2005). Using fluorescence resonance energy transfer to measure distances along individual DNA molecules: Corrections due to nonideal transfer. The Journal of Chemical Physics *122*, 061103.

Scipioni, L., Rossetta, A., Tedeschi, G., and Gratton, E. (2021). Phasor S-FLIM: a new paradigm for fast and robust spectral fluorescence lifetime imaging. Nature Methods *18*, 542–550.

Sheng, D., Pérez Galván, A., and Orozco, L.A. (2008). Lifetime measurements of the 5d states of rubidium. Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 78, 1–8.

Swaminathan, J., Boulgakov, A.A., Hernandez, E.T., Bardo, A.M., Bachman, J.L., Marotta, J., Johnson, A.M., Anslyn, E. V, and Marcotte, E.M. (2018). Highly parallel single-molecule identification of proteins in zeptomole-scale mixtures. Nature Biotechnology *36*, 1076–1082.

Van-Ginkel, J., Filius, M., Szczepaniak, M., Tulinski, P., Meyer, A.S., and Joo, C. (2018). Single-molecule peptide fingerprinting. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *115*, 3338–3343.

Wang, R., Gilboa, T., Song, J., Huttner, D., Grinstaff, M.W., and Meller, A. (2018). Single-Molecule Discrimination of Labeled DNAs and Polypeptides Using Photoluminescent-Free TiO₂ Nanopores. ACS Nano *12*, 11648–11656.





ounalprert









Highlights

- Exact ratios of emission-similar dyes in binary mixtures were quantified by TCSPC.
- MLE-based analysis with IRF compensation was implemented for two fluorescent dyes.
- Dual dye bioconjugation on a model protein was quantified at limited photon counts.

Journal Pre-proof